

Richard Woodward

From: N TREANOR-BECKETT <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 03 November 2018 14:36
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application 63110/FUL
Attachments: 322053DE-BC70-49B2-8770-ACF1A8CD1FA3.png

I have tried to submit the comments below on the online planning applications for Planning Application 63110/FUL your online page is not working and I have attached a screen shot of the message I received when trying to submit, as the closing date for comments closes today I expect you to take these comments into consideration.

Dear Mr Chris Haycock

I have recently received a letter hand delivered which records your comments for the Planning Application 63110/FUL, which is the proposed demolition of existing garages and residential development of ten, one bedroom apartments over two storeys and associated parking at the top of Chamber Avenue, Penkhull,

I am writing to you to correct two points you have commented on, assuming you are not a resident of Chamberlain Avenue, I believe you have either been provided with incorrect information or you may have made incorrect assumptions with regards parking in Chamberlain Avenue.

Firstly, I have been resident in Chamberlain Avenue now for almost 20years and I am aware of the waiting list that has existed for the garages as I have contacted the Council to request one but was put off by the long wait. I do not understand what you mean when you say the garages are 'under utilised'.

Secondly, I know very well the parking issues at the top of Chamberlain Avenue I experience this daily, last year the road was resurfaced and with some reluctance I had to dig out my front garden and pay the council to have my curb dropped to accommodate my wife's car due to lack of on-street parking. Not only has this reduced more green space it is also inconvenient as I have to park in front of my house blocking my wife's car in with my vehicle due to the shortage of on-street parking. Although there was a cost implication to us having the curb dropped we actually thought it would be help the parking issues in the road.

I kindly ask if you to get back to me informing what the current regulations are regarding parking allocations for individual properties. I understand that there will be some allocated spaces for the proposed new apartments, however are you able to inform me whether or not every property in Chamberlain Avenue has the equivalent allocated spaces? There are 5 bungalows at the top of Chamberlain Avenue, I know of at least 2 of the residents from the bungalows have cars, this could increase at any time. Please can you tell me how many spaces these bungalows are allocated?

There are a further 5 bungalows in Eardley St, residents there need to park either at the top of Chamberlain Avenue or on Rothwell Street. However Chamberlain Avenue is also used as overflow parking for Rothwell Street and Eardley Street due to lack of on- street parking there too. Chamberlain.

We now have the issue of the residents vacating so many garages, where will they park? Hunters way is bumper to bumper with cars so there is no chance of residents of Chamberlain Avenue parking there either it is not possible for residents of Chamberlain Avenue to park on Croft Crescent.

Lastly, parking for the proposed apartments; the apartments are one bedroom, there seems to be an assumption that residents will be single professionals, however the residents may have partners moving in therefore highly likely to have 2 cars per apartment and there will be an increased volume of traffic from friends and family visiting where with they park?

I would like you re think your comment 'there does not appear to be any on-street parking issues in this location.' As you can see there are already major issues with on-street parking in Chamberlain Avenue which will definitely increase. I hope on behalf of 'Highways' you take on board the concerns of the residents in Chamberlain Avenue. I look forward to your reply.

Regards
Mr N Beckett

3/11/18