

Comments for Planning Application 63110/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: 63110/FUL

Address: Land off Chamberlain Avenue, Stoke-on-Trent, ST4 5EQ

Proposal: Demolition of existing garages and residential development of ten, one bed apartments over two storeys and associated parking

Case Officer: David Clarke

Customer Details

Name: Miss Graziella Purton

Address: 91 Chamberlain Avenue, Stoke, Stoke-on-Trent ST4 5EQ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to object the proposed planning application.

I believe that this planning application fails to take into consideration the already congested roads of Chamberlain Avenue and surrounding areas, this will cause unnecessary strain on the emergency services and carers who need prompt access to the elderly and people in need. The parking has already been worsened since the notice of eviction from the garages has been posted. When did the highways agencies conduct their report on the parking as this issue will be lesser during the day when people are out and at work and therefore should have been taken into account when submitting their conclusion. Should you require, photographs of the parking congestion can be provided.

The planning application submitted advised that there will be ten parking spaces for residents and three for visitors however that would only be sufficient if said proposals were to house people with one vehicle, this cannot be guaranteed and therefore should not be used to lessen the impact of the parking situation to local residents. Chamberlain Avenue is already used by the neighbouring streets as a place that visitors can park and I fear that with this development it may result in double parking and parking on pavements restricting the path of pedestrians.

This development will be much higher than the surrounding properties especially number 91 and will overlook several properties/gardens on Colindene Grove, this may cause a loss of light and create a closed in feeling as it is a small area to develop ten flats.

Penkhull is a well established family community and the proposed plans do not correlate with this dynamic and may see families leave the area should developments like these go ahead. The

residents of Penkhull have been advised by Mr R Conteh that they are hoping to rent these flats to professionals on short term contracts which may be more suited to inner city rather than villages. It would be a huge loss to see more green space being developed in Penkhull for properties when it could be used as it is now to assist with the parking issue and create a place for children, families and the local community to enjoy.