

# Comments for Planning Application 63110/FUL

## Application Summary

Application Number: 63110/FUL

Address: Land off Chamberlain Avenue, Stoke-on-Trent, ST4 5EQ

Proposal: Demolition of existing garages and residential development of ten, one bed apartments over two storeys and associated parking

Case Officer: David Clarke

## Customer Details

Name: Dr John Chinn

Address: 14 Colindene Grove, Penkhull, Stoke-on-Trent ST4 5EH

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:[https://planning.stoke.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=documents&keyVal=\\_STOKE\\_DCAPR\\_69025](https://planning.stoke.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=documents&keyVal=_STOKE_DCAPR_69025)

Firstly I fundamentally object to the proposed development at the top of Chamberlain Avenue because it is taking away a vital open and green space, and part of Penkhull Village.

I object to the way in which the trees were removed from the green space with no notification or consultation with local residents. The Cabinet member for housing is also the Penkhull Ward Council representative. We should have been notified, if only as a matter of courtesy.

The removal of the trees not only upsets the appearance and feel of the locality but, as evidenced by the recent Storm Callum, impacts on the amount of wind the area receives. (I am a fluids engineer and I do know about such things)

There is a proposed 1.8m fence to the north and west of the development. This really is not necessary. Penkhull is a good area and there are people here of all age groups and all cross-sections of society. Occasionally youths will meet in small numbers but they are generally polite and well-behaved. Anti-social behaviour really is a minimum in the area.

We did wonder why the garages were being left to get into a run-down condition. Now we know. It seems that the older peoples' bungalows are also being left to get into a run-down condition, One wonders why this is, and what other plans this council has for our area?

We do not want to increase the population density of either people or motor cars in the area.

It is not clear that the rear access gates of residents of Colindene Grove have been considered in the development plans. In fact it is only through reading the Police consultee report (accessible from this link above) that one becomes aware of the proposed 1.8m high fence. One wonders what other wording is associated with the proposed development that we are not being made aware of?

During the meetings at the Penkhull Village Hall on 18th October, the director of Fortior Homes mentioned that the idea was to turn Penkhull into a residential area for professional and business people. We already have professional and business people in the area and they like it just fine with the current mix of old and young that I mentioned earlier. I would like to see the market research that was carried out in support of this notion of the desire to come and take over Penkhull, from the business people themselves?